

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/570,804 | 03/06/2006 | Gunnar Heydrich | 1315600038US1 | 4880 |
| 23416 759 | 7590 10/04/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP | | | KEYS, ROSALYND ANN | |
| P O BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/6/06.

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/570,804 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-11 and 13-21 are pending.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected.

Claims 1-8, 11 and 13-21 are allowed.

Claim 12 is canceled.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on March 6, has been considered by the examiner.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: B2 and P2. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not

Art Unit: 1621

accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

5. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the limitation "without more than 5% by weight of the methanol having been distilled off beforehand" is disclosed in the last two lines of claim 7. However, the specification states on page 4, lines 27 and 28 that "Not more than 5% by weight of the methanol is distilled off at the same time (emphasis added). Therefore the limitation "beforehand" is not supported by the specification.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 5 before the word distillation the word by (or a synonym of by) should be inserted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 9 and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1621

- 9. Claim 9 recites the limitation "the second thermally coupled column" in lines 2 and 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 10. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Exparte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Exparte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Exparte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Exparte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 10 recites the broad recitation in the lower section of the column, and the claim also recites preferably from 1 to 5 theoretical plates above the column bottom which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 1-8, 11 and 13-21 are allowed.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Although methods for working up reaction mixtures obtained by the acetalization of glyoxal with methanol are known (see for example US 5,426,239) and the use of a dividing wall column to separate a multicomponent mixture is known (see US 5,914,012), the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest the use of the claimed dividing wall column for separation of a mixture obtained by

Art Unit: 1621

the reaction of methanol with glyoxal. it would not have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that a dividing wall column, with the claimed construction, i.e., a feed section and a withdrawal section, both containing a rectifying and stripping section, would be suitable for use in separating mixtures obtained by reaction of methanol with glyoxal given the fact that 1,1,2,2-tetramethoxyethane forms an azeotrope with water.

Conclusion

13. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

See paragraphs 4-10 above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosalynd Keys whose telephone number is 571-272-0639. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W & F 5:30-8:30 am & 1-5 pm;Th 5:30 am-5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/570,804

Art Unit: 1621

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rosalynd Keys
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1621

September 30, 2006